

Journal of Socioeconomics and Development

https://publishing-widyagama.ac.id/ejournal-v2/index.php/jsed

The reduction of human development gap in origin and new regency in Eastern Indonesia

Jihad Lukis Panjawa^{1*} and Bhimo Rizky Samudro²

¹Universitas Tidar, Magelang, Indonesia ²Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

*Correspondence email: jipanjawa@untidar.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

► Research Article

Article History

Received 30 November 2020 Accepted 5 January 2021 Published 1 April 2021

Keywords

economic growth; decentralization; human development; poverty; regional status

JEL Classification H30; I32; O15 Inequality still becomes a popular issue in the establishment of developing countries. Aside from income inequality, human development inequality is considered as an interesting topic for further study, in terms of resuming the solution. The purpose of this study is to identify the human development gap between origin and new regencies in Eastern Indonesia as well as the determinant. This study uses a positivist perspective with a deductive approach. Secondary data with cross-section types are used in this study, covering regencies and cities in Eastern Indonesia. This study uses the econometrics methodology and regression analysis with a dummy variable. The results showed that there were differences in human development index between origin and new regencies in Eastern Indonesia. The human development index in the new regency is lower than in the origin regency. The results support the Myrdal's Theory, which explains the gap in human development disparities among regions due to the significant backwash effects. Fiscal decentralization has a role in reducing the gap in human development in origin and new regencies, yet insignificant for the economic growth. Poverty lowers the impact on increasing human development in the origin regencies but does not occur in the new regencies.

To cite this article: Panjawa, J. L. & Samudro, B. R. (2021). The reduction of human development gap in origin and new regency in Eastern Indonesia. Journal of Socioeconomics and Development, 4(1), 21-32. https://doi.org/10.31328/jsed.v4i1.1769

ISSN 2615-6075 online; ISSN 2615-6946 print ©UWG Press, 2021



100

INTRODUCTION

Many measurement alternatives are applied to achieve better development. One of them is the human development index, which has been studied in depth by the United Nations Development Program since 1990 and is published regularly. Human development index is able to demonstrate the increasing quality of better human resources in human development. Increased human development index shows the indication of increased prosperity. Increased human development index represents the increasing number of population who can access development in obtaining basic needs, including income, health, education and so on evenly (Arisman, 2018; Fretes, 2017; Grubaugh, 2015; Panjawa, Samudro, & Soesilo, 2018; Sulistyowati, Sinaga, & Novindra, 2017; Vikash, 2019; Yuliani & Saragih, 2014). Equity, especially the issue of inequality, is still one of the priorities in development. Uneven development will cause disparities. The gap of social and economy affects the ability of humans and is undesirable from the standpoint of welfare (Ghosh, 2019).

The problem of development disparities faced by Indonesia is very complicated (Bappenas, 2008; Elia, Yulianto, Tiawon, Sustiyah, & Indrajaya, 2020; Salgaura, Mulyo, & Darwanto, 2019; Siburian, 2020; Soejoto, Fitrayati, Rachmawati, & Sholikah, 2016). The complexity is considered to be diversity reflected in geographical, social, and economic differences. Complex issues are reduced by managing regional finances more efficiently. Elia et al. (2020) showed that research in new proliferation areas are mainly concerned with regional finances that are managed more efficiently by focusing on community economic activities. It can create investment opportunities and jobs based on the prominent regional product. Investment can increase employment and indirectly reduce poverty.

According Siburian (2020), to fiscal decentralization reduces regional income inequality. Autonomy encourages local governments in designing development programmes that match unique characteristics of a particular region and distributing more balanced resources within the region. Decentralization also encourages local governments to efficiently provide necessary public services. Ultimately, decentralization motivates local politicians to effectively allocate local public goods and services. Liu et al. (2017) added that effects of fiscal decentralization on regional inequality tend to be meaningful when they are measured from the expenditure side. At same the time, fiscal equalization efforts by sub-region government are shown by implementing equalization programs.

There are several development indicators that need to be considered to measure welfare. <u>Soejoto</u> <u>et al. (2016)</u> identified four indicators of regional development namely economic growth, fiscal decentralization, income inequality, and educational inequality. These indicators well describe the real conditions of public welfare. It means more attention is highly needed for fiscal decentralization, income inequality and inequality of education to see the success of economic development, not only the economic growth.

On the other hand, contradictions appear and complicate the development problem. <u>Qiao et al.</u> (2008) argued that fiscal decentralization leads to economic growth as well as significant increases in regional inequality. This is interpreted as trade-off between economic growth and regional equity in the design of fiscal decentralization policy.

Panjawa and Samudro, The reduction of human development...

There is increasing concern that the focus of activities development and growth is dominated by the Western and is compared to Eastern Indonesia (Hutajulu, Panjawa, Islami, & Sugiharti, 2020; Nugraha, Wicaksono, & Wijaya, 2017; Panjawa et al., 2018; Riphat, Setiawan, & Damayanty, 2016). Based on the report about Evaluation of The Proliferation of Administrative Region in Indonesia by Bappenas (2008), the origin regions and the control regions consistently showed better results in all areas of focus (such as economic growth, regional fiscal public management, services and regional government personnel) compared to the new autonomous regions.

According to <u>Tun (2008</u>), Indonesia still has gaps in the human development dimensions over the past 15 years. <u>Central Bureau of Statistics (2017</u>) stated, in addition to the income gap, the gap in human development is also experienced by the Western and Eastern regions of Indonesia. The gap in human development is indicated by the index of human development that represents the quality of human resources. For five years, the low quality of human development in the Eastern Indonesia's provinces is still more significant compared to that in the Western Indonesia's provinces. It is even the lowest nationally.

Differences in the quality of human resources reflect the gap of human development. In Indonesia, the human development gap is shown by the significant difference in the value of the human development index between the West and East Regions. The problem of human development is one indicator of the emergence of a government policy called regional autonomy, as the implementation of Law No. 23 of 2014 replaces Law No. 32 of 2004, which previously replaced Law No. 22 of 1999.

The regional autonomy policy is expected to be able to improve the welfare of the people in an area (Moonti, 2019; Prabowo, Supriyono, Noor, & Muluk, 2020). The policy of regional autonomy in Indonesia leads to regional proliferation. Regional proliferation means that the euphoria that has arisen since the implementation of regional autonomy has had a variety of impacts on society and the government. One of them is the demand for the formation of new regional governments (new regencies) from existing local governments. The readiness of an area is also crucial in dealing with regional autonomy policies and leading the regions that already have advanced economic structures (relatively rich regions) to be more capable and have high economic growth so that it impacts the welfare of the community. In contrast, the readiness of developing regions or relatively disadvantaged areas is considered low, and this leads to low economic growth.

The main focus of the new regency is the impact of decentralization policy directed at creating the level of regency/city government with new autonomy. The purpose of decentralization implies the benefits to promote more autonomous incomes. In other words, regencies/cities are a valid form of autonomy (<u>Hariwan & Swaningrum, 2015; Mcwilliam,</u> <u>2011; Seymour & Turner, 2002</u>). The encouragement of regions to proliferate regions is expected to minimize disparities and improve social welfare (<u>Jamal, 2017</u>).

The implementation of regional autonomy in question is fiscal decentralization, which is the hope for regional governments. Fiscal decentralization refers to the transfer of authority and responsibilities from the central government to sub-national or regional governments. It is expected that the fiscal decentralization capacity of the region in terms of the fiscal capacity to be managed better, optimized and to be potentially and efficiently allocated under available resources. It leads each region to understand more on local preferences. With the ability of the region to be more independent in financial development, community welfare will increase, as measured by the achievement of the human development index (Madhu & A.K., 2014; Mehmood, Sadig, & Khalid, 2010; Nursini, 2012; Pramartha & Dwirandra, 2018).

Fiscal decentralization has led to economic growth and significant increase of inequality among regions (Oiao et al., 2008). The influence of decentralization becomes the attraction of discussion on inequality. Several previous studies related to growth, poverty and inequality with various results of relationship's contradiction among growth, poverty, and inequality are those by Benjamin et al. (2017), Breunig & Majeed (2020), Hassan et al. (2015), Marrero & Serven (2018), and Ngozi et al. (2020). According to Breunig & Majeed (2020), the policy of poverty reduction is unable to reduce the inequality, but is able to promote economic growth. Ngozi et al. (2020) stated that the existence of a inequality could detain sustainable growth. According to Brida et al. (2020), positive or negative relation between economic growth and inequality depends on the status of a region. In developed countries, the increase of economic growth could support the equal distributed income (convergency), while the increase of economic growth in poor and developing countries leads to the increase of income's consentration (divergency). On the other hand, according to Hassan et al. (2015) and Marrero & Serven (2018), growth, proverty, and inequality have negative correlation with one another.

Human development has always been an essential issue in sustainable development planning and strategies (Sušnik & van der Zaaq, 2017). Hence, in human development, the improvement of the quality of human resources is needed. Human development is about the freedom to realize the full potential of every human life, not just for a few or the most, but from all lives in every corner of the world — now and in the future. Human development is for everyone, and that universalism is the core of the concept and framework of human development (Jahan, 2019; McNeill, 2007; Sharmila, 2013). Besides, improving the quality of human resources is a part of the efforts to build competent individuals. In the context of a nation, improving the quality of human resources includes human development both as individual beings and as resources for the nation's development. Both aspects are the primary concern of improving the quality of human resources to create welfare.

The low potential and quality of human resources are due to a large number of remote and developing areas with low education, infrastructure, health, and income, especially in Eastern Indonesia when compared to Western Indonesia. It is suspected that those factors lead to the findings of the most number of proliferation areas in Eastern Indonesia.

The abovementioned gaps motivate the researchers to empirically examine the effect development indicators, such as decentralization, economic growth, and poverty, on human development, as well as the comparison between origin and new (expanded) regions.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study uses a positivist perspective with a deductive approach. In the positivist perspective, researchers start their research from a causal relationship that logically refers to theory. In general,

the positivism perspective uses a deductive approach. The development and affirmation of a theory that begin with abstract concepts and theoretical relationships and lead to more concrete empirical evidence are called the deductive approach (Neuman, 2011).

Secondary data with cross-section type are used in this research, including 232 regencies and cities in Eastern Indonesia in 2017. The data source in this study is from publication reports of Central Bureau of Statistics Indonesia, i.e. human development index report, Regency/City Gross Regional Domestic Product in Indonesia, Regency Government Statistics Book 2 (Bali, Nusa Tenggara, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, Maluku, Papua) and Regency/City Poverty Data and Information. This quantitative research uses econometrics methodology and regression analytical tool. According to Gujarati and Porter (2009), regression is a statistical analysis tool designed to measure the direction and magnitude of the influence of one or more variables on one or more dependent variables. The regression used in this study is the multiple linear regression. The model of the multiple linear regression equation, as follows:

$$HDI_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}FDPAD_{i} + \beta_{2}POVR_{i} + \beta_{3}EG_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$$
(1)

where: i is region; β is a parameter (constants and coefficients); HDI is human development index; EG is economic growth showing the development of activities in an economy where the production of goods and services has increased and is used for assessing welfare; FDPAD is Fiscal Decentralization which shows the ratio of original regional revenue to total revenue; and POVR is level of poverty.

The use of dummy variables aims to determine differences in inequality between regions and the magnitude of the influence of each independent variable, specifically in the regression model in the origin and new regencies. In other words, the study empirically examines the relationship between human development inequality and development indicators, as well as the comparison on regions, i.e. between origin and new (expanded) regencies using regression with dummy variables as an analysis tool. According to Gujarati and Porter (2009), dummy variables are a tool for classifying data by dividing samples into various subgroups based on guality or attributes and explicitly making it possible to operate individual regressions for each subgroup (dummy variable techniques are flexible). To avoid the trap of dummy variables, the number of dummy variables is k-1 = 2-1 = 1 (one dummy variable). The application of dummy variables in the regression equation (1) is as follows.

$$HDI_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 D1_i + \beta_2 FDPAD_i + \beta_3 POVR_i + \beta_4 EG_i + \beta_5 D1^* FDPAD_i + \beta_6 D1^* POVR_i + \beta_7 D1^* EG_i + \mu_i$$
(2)

where: D1 is a dummy variable, has a value of 0 for the origin regency, and 1 for the other regions/ new regency (the area of post division of Law No. 22 of 1999, Law No. 32 of 2004 Law and No. 23 of 2014). It should be noted that the mapping regarding the new regency is indicated by regional laws based on the formation of autonomous regions in Indonesia from the publication of the Directorate General of Regional Autonomy of the Ministry of Home Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia. Besides Goodness of Fit, other underlying assumptions to support the analysis tools in this study include the normality test, heteroscedasticity test, and model specifications.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Human Development Gap

The inequality among regions and among socioeconomic groups in Indonesia is still is one of the important challenges in national development. At present, the gap among regions in Indonesia is considered relatively high, in particular gaps in human development between Western Indonesia and Eastern Indonesia. Furthermore, human development gaps also occur within the Eastern Indonesia regions.

Table 1 and 2 show the data of development indicators in the Eastern area of Indonesia in 2017. Several indicators are referred from human development, economic growth, decentralization and poverty. The data are arranged based on regions for the category of human development index.

Extremely high and high categories for development index were still dominated by cities (when compared with regency areas). The highest human development index was occupied by the city of Kendari with a score of 81.81. There were 53 regions classified as having extremely high and high development index, 3 regions categorized as having extremely high human development index (with the average of 81.98), and 50 regions grouped in the category of high (with the average of 73.92 for human development index).

by %	City/Regency	HDI	EG	FD	PR	City/Regency	HDI	EG	FD	PR
Bontang City 77.8 9.6 8.1 17.4 West Halmahera 67.0 3.4 6.3 5.5 Sorong City 78.1 5.5 6.8 8.9 Parigi Moutong 6.7 13.5 5.0 5.6 Sorong City 63.1 3.4 1.1 8.8 Waropen 60.7 7.1 6.4 1.0 Gerotatio City 67.1 8.8 6.9 2.10 Bangali Kepulauan 70.1 16.5 5.0 2.8 Bau-Bau City 6.5 4.2 6.7 7.1 Central Halmahera 6.3 1.3 5.6 1.2 5.5 1.4 2.7 Marita 70.2 6.2 6.0 7.8 Potuvato 6.4 2.8 1.2 5.1 5.4 2.7 1.4 2.7 1.4 2.7 Katamabaju City 7.8 1.4 2.7 2.7 North Jennahama 6.7 1.8 1.3 1.3 1.4 2.7 2.7 2.7 North Jennaha 1.6			%	%	%			%	%	%
Ternster City 78.1 5.5 6.8 19.9 Parign Moutong 64.7 13.5 5.0 5.6 Palopo City 63.0 32.1 6.1 13.4 Waropen 60.7 7.1 6.4 10.0 2.0 Corontalo City 63.1 8.6 20.0 Bangai Kepulauan 70.1 16.0 -19.0 2.0 Tomohon City 64.4 11.1 6.8 8.4 South Bolang Mongondow 63.1 2.6 7.3 6.8 Bau-Bau City 65.5 4.2 6.7 7.1 Central Halmahera 63.9 13.5 6.4 2.5 19.2 7.4 2.7 1.5 6.1 Central Marnupu 65.5 10.3 Kotamobagu City 7.8 4.5 6.0 13.0 North Grontalo 67.6 13.4 6.0 13.5 13.7 Kotamobagu City 7.9 8.4 15.3 5.7 13.2 Lembata 5.9 16.5 5.1 5.7 13.7 North Frengiam Paser <	Bontang City	77.8	9.6	8.1	17.4	West Halmahera	67.0	3.4	6.3	3.6
Sorong City 78.3 4.7 70 175 Banggai Laut 74.4 93 6.8 2.8 Gorontalo City 67.1 8.0 6.9 21.0 Banggai Kepulauan 70.1 6.4 10.0 Gorontalo City 67.4 8.8 7.2 3.9 Mamasa 69.2 3.5 6.1 2.5 Bang City 76.7 8.8 7.2 3.9 Mamasa 69.2 3.5 6.1 2.5 Minika 70.2 6.2 8.0 7.8 Pohuwato 64.2 8.7 1.5 5.4 6.7 1.5 Schangai Mattinia 7.0 7.8 6.7 6.1 7.4 1.5 6.2 6.3 North Gorontalo 67.6 7.4 6.0 1.0 5.1 8.7 7.9 7.4 7.3 2.4 7.4 7.0 5.8 6.6 1.5 Luw Titmur 64.3 8.3 6.3 Central Hamatina 6.0 1.0.3 7.0 5.5 8.3 5.3	Ternate City	78.1	5 5	6.8	89	Parigi Moutong	64 7	13.5	5.0	5.6
Palago City 63.0 92.1 6.1 19.4 Warngen 60.7 7.1 6.4 1.0 2.0 Gronnbal City 68.4 11.1 6.8 84.4 South Bolaang Mongondow 63.1 16.0 2.0 Bame City 66.5 4.2 6.7 7.1 Central Halmahera 63.9 2.35 6.1 2.55 5.4 Bau-Bau City 66.5 4.2 6.7 7.1 6.61 Central Halmahera 63.9 1.8 Annoth 64.2 8.7 5.4 5.4 North Grontalo 67.6 17.4 5.2 6.3 North Grontalo 67.6 1.7 6.0 3.6 Lowal Timur 64.4 18.3 6.3 16.3 West Muna 7.0 5.8 6.5 1.0 Kolaka 70.6 7.2 6.0 7.7 North Lombok 67.2 5.8 1.5 3.7 Kolaka 67.5 9.2 6.3 1.1 1.4 2.3 6.0	Sorong City	78.3	47	7.0	17 5	Banggai Laut	74.4	03	6.8	2.8
Convertion CF1 Res Dir. Promotion Cippulation CF1 Promotion Tormotion City 66.4 11.1 6.8 2.9 Mumasia 63.1 2.6 5.0 3.6 Bima City 66.5 4.2 9.9 Mumasia 63.2 3.5 5.1 2.4 Mumika 70.2 6.2 8.0 7.8 Pohuwato 64.2 3.1 5.4 Mumika 70.2 6.2 8.0 7.8 Pohuwato 64.2 5.1 5.4 Statisticat 70.2 7.4 5.0 3.0 Konave Island 7.6 7.4 6.7 9.9 Mainau 65.5 9.2 7.4 3.2 Lembata 5.8 5.1 3.7 5.5 1.3 3.1 South Buton 6.6 1.5 5.1 3.7 5.6 3.0 Motinau 6.6 1.5 5.1 3.7 5.6 3.0 Motinau 6.6 3.0 Motinau 6.6	Palana City	62.0	22.1	6.1	12.4	Waropon	60.7	7 1	6.0	1.0
Sub Grand 6.1 6.3 6.4 11.0 6.5 6.4 11.1 6.5 6.4 South Bolang 6.7 3.6 7.8 2.8 7.7 North Corontalo 6.7 7.8 7.8 7.7 North Lombok 6.7 8.8 1.5 7.7 5.8 5.1 3.7 7.8 7.8 7.8 5.8 5.1 3.7 7.8 7.8 7.5 5.6 7.9 5.8 5.3 7.7 5.8 5.1 3.7 7.8	Corontalo City	67.1	0 0	6.0	21.0	Ranggai Kapulayan	70.1	16.0	10.7	2.0
Index (Lip) 68.4 South Bolizang Mongoniou 63.1 2.5. 3.0 2.8. Branz Chy 66.5 4.2 6.7 7.1 Central Halmahera 63.9 1.3. 6.1. 2.5. Bau-Bau Chy 66.5 4.2 6.7 7.1 Central Halmahera 63.9 1.8. 5.4 North Minahasa 7.21 6.2 6.3 6.1 Central Mamuju 63.5 1.6. 1.6. 3.6 Kolanobagu Chy 7.9.8 4.5 6.2 6.3 North Gorontalo 67.6 1.7.4 5.0 1.6. 1.6. 1.6. 3.6 1.6.3		07.1	0.0	0.9	21.0		/0.1	10.0	-19.0	2.0
Barn Bour Sau City 66.5 4.2 3.9 Pinamash Paur Sau City 65.5 4.2 6.7 7.1 Central Haimahera 63.9 13.5 6.1 2.5 Mimika 70.2 6.2 8.0 7.8 Pohuwato 64.2 8.7 5.1 5.4 Kotamobagu City 79.8 4.5 6.2 6.1 Central Mamigu 63.5 19.2 7.4 2.7 4.2 7 Kotamobagu City 79.8 4.5 6.0 3.0 Konawe Island 70.0 5.8 6.7 7.9 Kolaka 70.6 7.2 7.4 3.2 Lembata 5.9 7.4 8.6 7.5 5.6 7.5 5.6 7.5 7.8 8.1 5.3 5.0 7.4 8.6 7.5 5.6 3.0 Netri Menajame and City 7.1 5.7 7.2 8.8 3.1 5.3 Central Buton 6.6 1.0.3 7.0 7.5 7.8 3.0 Notti Maimaheanea 6.7 <t< td=""><td>Tomonon City</td><td>68.4</td><td>11.1</td><td>6.8</td><td>8.4</td><td>South Bolaang Mongondow</td><td>63.1</td><td>26.5</td><td>5.0</td><td>2.8</td></t<>	Tomonon City	68.4	11.1	6.8	8.4	South Bolaang Mongondow	63.1	26.5	5.0	2.8
Bau-Bau City 66.5 4.2 6.7 7.1 Central Halmahera 63.9 8.3 6.1 2.5 North Minahasa 7.2. 6.2 8.0 7.8 Pohuwato 63.2 8.7 5.1 5.4 North Minahasa 7.2. 6.2 6.3 North Controlalo 67.6 17.4 6.0 3.6 Luwu Timur 64.4 18.3 6.3 16.3 West Munah 7.0 5.8 6.9 1.0 Kolaka 70.6 7.2 6.0 7.0 South Buton 65.3 1.6.3 1.5.1 3.7 North Pengjam Paser 7.1.4 1.3.2 1.1 2.2 1.3.5 5.0.1.1 5.7 North Lombok 67.2 2.8 1.5.3 5.1 3.7 North Manhasa 6.1.7 1.5.4 8.3 1.4.4 7.3 2.3.5 5.4 1.5.7 5.6 3.0 Kota Singlawang 6.3.1 1.4.2 5.1 3.7 North Minahasa 6.7	Bima City	/6./	8.8	7.2	3.9	Mamasa	69.2	3.5	6./	3.6
Mimika 70.2 6.2 8.0 7.8 Pohuwato 64.2 8.7 7.4 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.4 <	Bau-Bau City	66.5	4.2	6./	/.1	Central Halmahera	63.9	13.5	6.1	2.5
North Minahasa 72.1 4.5 6.9 6.1 Central Mamuju 63.5 19.2 7.4 2.7 Kotamobagu City 79.8 4.5 6.2 6.3 North Grontalo 67.6 17.4 6.0 3.6 Luwu Timur 64.4 18.3 6.3 16.3 West Muna 7.0 5.8 6.9 1.0 Kolaka 70.6 7.2 6.0 7.0 South Buton 65.7 7.4 8.5 1.5 1.5 North Penajam Paser 71.4 13.2 6.9 7.7 North Lombok 67.2 5.6 0.0 1.5 Kotas Singkawang 63.1 14.2 5.2 5.3 South Buru 64.3 2.6 0.0 1.5 Kotas Singkawang 63.1 7.4 5.4 5.3 C.6 7.4 3.4 Buruhana 66.7 1.6 0.0 1.5 Kotas Singkawang 63.1 7.8 7.4 5.2 South Minahasa 65.0 0.2	Mimika	70.2	6.2	8.0	7.8	Pohuwato	64.2	8.7	5.1	5.4
Kotamobagu City 79.2 11.5 6.0 3.0 North Gorontalo 6.7.6 17.4 6.0 3.6 Luwu Timur 64.4 18.3 6.3 16.3 West Muna 70.0 5.8 6.9 1.0 Malinau 65.5 9.2 6.4 3.2 Lembata 58.9 1.5 5.1 3.7 Morth Pengiam Paser 71.4 13.2 6.9 7.7 North Lomboko 66.0 10.3 7.0 1.5 Kota Singkawang 63.1 14.2 5.2 1.5 South Hamahera 62.7 15.4 3.1 3.2 4.3 South Minahasa 63.3 7.4 3.4 Toju Lona-Una 7.1 2.5 7.4 3.4 Gaunan Mas 64.2 10.3 5.8 Raja Ampat 64.2 10.3 2.4 4.3 South Minahasa 63.1 7.6 5.2 3.6 Sarmi 64.2 10.3 5.4 0.9 Tanah Bumbu 62.2	North Minahasa	72.1	4.5	6.9	6.1	Central Mamuju	63.5	19.2	7.4	2.7
East Kutai 79.2 11.5 6.0 3.0 Konawe Island 67.6 5.8 6.6 1.5 Kolaka 70.6 7.2 6.0 7.0 South Buton 67.7 7.4 8.6 7.0 North Penajam Paser 7.1 13.2 6.9 7.7 North Lombok 67.2 5.9 5.8 15.3 Barito Timur 7.08 1.3 8.11.1 Sekadau 66.3 2.60 1.0 7.5 Kota Singkawang 6.1.7 1.5.4 5.3 1.6.3 Central Buton 66.0 1.0.3 7.0 7.5 7.8 3.6 0.0 1.5 Kota Singkawang 6.1.7 1.8.9 5.1 3.7 South Humahasa 62.7 1.7.2 5.6 3.0 Gounung Mas 6.50 2.0.6 6.8 4.4 Binumu Binuhu 62.2 1.9 1.1 Aru Island 63.6 7.0 7.4 3.4 5.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 5.0 5.1 3.7	Kotamobagu City	79.8	4.5	6.2	6.3	North Gorontalo	67.6	17.4	6.0	3.6
Luwu Timur 64,4 18.3 6.3 West Muna 70.0 5.8 6.9 1.0 Malinau 65.5 9.2 7.4 3.2 Lembata 5.9 5.8 15.3 Barito Timur 70.8 6.1 3.8 1.1 Sekadau 6.0 3.2 7.5 5.8 15.3 Morowali 6.2.7 15.4 8.3 1.1.3 Sekadau 6.0.3 2.40 2.5 7.5 6.3 Kota Singkawang 6.1.7 18.9 5.1 3.7 South Hamahera 6.7.7 7.2 5.7 2.8 South Mianbaa 6.4 15.3 6.4 6.3 X.4 Topic Juba-Una 7.9 3.3 2.2 4.3 Guunng Mas 6.5 0.2.6 5.4 3.4 Bintuni Bay 6.1.7 1.2.2 Juba 4 5.0 3.8 3.3 4.3 5.0 Lumandau 6.5.2 7.1 7.1 Vau Ishn 6.3 6.3 1.3 5.4	East Kutai	79.2	11.5	6.0	3.0	Konawe Island	67.6	5.8	6.6	1.5
Kolaka 70.6 7.2 6.0 7.0 South Buton 5.7 4.8 6.7 0.67 1.8 1.65 5.1 3.7 North Penajam Paser 71.4 13.2 6.9 7.7 North Lombok 6.3 5.5 5.5 8.5 1.5 South Manna 6.3 8.1 1.4 5.2 1.5 South Haunohok 6.3 8.1 1.4 5.2 1.5 South Haunohok 6.7 1.2 5.2 6.3 0.0 1.9 9.3 3.2 4.3 3.0 3.0 1.3 South Haunohara 6.1 1.7 2.5 5.0 1.0 3.3 4.4 3.0 3.2 4.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 4.5 3.0 3.0 4.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 4.5 3.0 3.0 4.5 3.0 3.0 4.5 1.0 3.0 4.5 1.0 3.0 4.5 5.0 1.0 3.0 4.5 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Luwu Timur	64.4	18.3	6.3	16.3	West Muna	70.0	5.8	6.9	1.0
Malinau65.59.27.43.2LembataSeha16.59.518.315.3Barito Timur70.86.13.811.1Sekadau66.02.43.55.05.61.5Morowali62.715.48.316.3Central Buton66.010.37.01.5Mers Kutai61.415.25.213.5South Buru61.712.75.63.0West Kutai61.711.25.13.7South Halmahera62.712.75.63.0South Minahasa75.36.37.43.4Tojo Una-Una71.99.33.24.3Gunung Mas65.02.06.88.4Bintuni Bay64.76.25.72.4Tidore Island City67.84.45.05.8Raja Ampat64.210.84.60.5Jamandau66.27.45.25.11.1West Sumba64.210.85.53.5Southeast Minahasa66.117.87.12.1Aru Island64.316.63.95.3Southeast Minahasa65.115.86.51.17.07.54.13.44.09.53.5Mortal Sand6.61.64.63.6North Konawe64.113.35.41.7North Kayong62.43.41.23.13.1Jalaud Island7.513.81.46.46.55.95	Kolaka	70.6	7.2	6.0	7.0	South Buton	65.7	4.8	6.7	0.9
North Penajam Paser 7,4 1.3.2 6.9 7.7 North Lombok 6.7.2 5.9 5.8 15.3 Barito Timur 70.8 6.1 3.8 11.1 Sekadau 66.0 10.3 7.0 1.5 Kota Singkawang 6.1 14.2 5.2 13.5 South Halmahra 66.7 12.7 5.6 3.0 West Kutai 61.4 15.3 6.4 6.3 Kaimana 66.7 12.7 5.6 3.0 South Mianhaba 75.3 6.3 7.4 5.2 3.6 Raja Ampat 64.2 9.3 3.2 4.3 Gunung Mas 65.0 20.6 6.8 3.4 Bintuni Bay 64.7 6.2 5.7 2.4 Tadore Island City 6.78 4.4 5.0 S.8 Raja Ampat 64.2 9.5 3.5 4.0 South Mianhasa 61.1 7.8 7.1 2.1 Aru Island 64.1 5.6 5.1 5.2 <td< td=""><td>Malinau</td><td>65.5</td><td>9.2</td><td>7.4</td><td>3.2</td><td>Lembata</td><td>58.9</td><td>16.5</td><td>5.1</td><td>3.7</td></td<>	Malinau	65.5	9.2	7.4	3.2	Lembata	58.9	16.5	5.1	3.7
Barito Tinur 70.8 6.1 3.8 1.1 Sekadau 66.3 24.0 5.7 5.6 Morowali 62.7 15.4 8.3 16.3 Central Buton 66.3 24.0 5.7 5.6 Kota Singkawang 63.1 14.2 5.2 3.5 South Buru 64.3 23.6 6.0 1.9 West Surbawa 61.7 12.7 5.6 3.0 7.4 3.4 Tojou Halmahera 62.7 1.2 5.7 2.4 Gunung Mas 65.0 2.0.0 6.8 3.4 Bintuni Bay 64.7 2.2 7.2 2.4 Lamandau 66.2 7.4 5.4 6.5 8.7 Sarmi 64.2 10.3 7.0 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.7 West Sumba 64.1 16.2 1.5 1.5 1.0 1.0 1.4 6.6 3.6 5.3 1.5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	North Penaiam Paser	71.4	13.2	6.9	7.7	North Lombok	67.2	5.9	5.8	15.3
More and any and any and any and any	Barito Timur	70.8	6.1	3.8	11.1	Sekadau	66.3	24.0	5.7	5.6
Northmain 63.1 1.2.2 5.2 1.3.5 South Buru 6.3.3 2.3.6 1.3.5 South Buru 6.3.3 2.3.6 1.3.5 West Sumbava 6.1.7 1.5.3 5.4 6.3.7 South Halmahera 6.2.7 1.7.2 5.7 2.8 South Minahasa 75.3 6.3 7.4 3.4 Tojo Una-Una 7.1.9 9.3 3.2 4.3 South Minahasa 75.3 6.3 7.4 3.4 Tojo Una-Una 7.1.7 9.3 3.2 4.3 Gunung Mas 65.0 6.0 6.8 4.4 5.0 5.8 Raja Ampat 64.2 10.3 8.4 5.0 Lamandau 68.2 7.1 2.1 Aru Island 63.3 6.5 1.5 2.0 Sarmi 64.6 1.6 3.6 7.0 5.7 2.5 Southasat Manabasa 68.1 1.7.8 7.1 2.1 Aru Island 63.3 1.6 1.5 5.0 7.2	Morowali	62.7	15.4	83	16.3	Central Buton	66.0	10.3	7.0	1 5
North Singarmeng 61.1 Fr.2 52.2 12.3 South Minham 61.5 12.7 50.6 12.7 50.6 13.0 West Kutal 61.7 18.9 5.1 3.7 South Minhama 62.7 17.2 5.7 2.8 South Minhamsa 65.0 20.6 6.8 3.4 Bintuni Bay 64.7 62.2 5.7 2.4 Gunung Mas 65.0 20.6 6.8 3.4 Bintuni Bay 64.2 10.3 4.5 0 Lamandau 68.2 7.4 5.0 S.8 Raja Ampat 64.2 10.5 5.4 6.0 8.1 Southeast Minahasa 68.1 17.8 1.7 1.1 Aru Island 63.6 6.5 5.5 5.2 North Konawe 64.1 13.3 5.4 1.7 North Koyong 62.4 3.43 1.2 3.1 North Konawe 64.8 5.9 3.5 Morch Kayong 62.4 3.43 1.2 3.1	Kota Singkawang	63.1	14.7	5.2	13.5	South Buru	64.3	23.6	6.0	1.0
West Sumbava 61.7 12.9 61.7 61.3 Namerica 60.7 12.7 51.0 50.7 25.0 South Minahasa 75.3 6.3 7.4 3.4 Tojo Una-Una 71.9 9.3 3.2 4.3 Gouning Mas 65.0 20.6 6.8 3.4 Tojo Una-Una 71.9 9.3 3.2 4.3 Tidore Island City 67.8 4.4 5.0 5.8 Raja Ampat 64.2 9.5 5.4 0.9 Tanah Bumbu 62.2 21.9 5.1 11.7 West Sumba 64.1 16.2 6.6 8.1 Southes Minhabasa 68.1 17.8 7.1 2.1 Anu Island 61.6 5.0 9.1 2.0 8.6 5.0 9.1 2.0 1.0 1.8 3.1 2.0 3.0 1.2 3.1 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Wost Kutai	64.4	15.2	5.Z	6.3	Kaimana	66.7	12.0	5.6	2.0
Wreis Sullidwa 01.7 10.9 5.1 5.1 5.4 3.4 South Minahaled 02.7 17.2 5.7 2.0 Gunung Mas 65.0 20.6 6.8 3.4 Bintuni Bay 64.7 6.2 17.2 3.2 4.3 Gunung Mas 65.0 20.6 6.8 3.4 Bintuni Bay 64.2 10.3 8.4 5.0 Lamandau 68.2 7.4 5.2 3.6 Sarmi 64.2 10.3 8.4 5.0 Southeast Minahasa 68.1 17.8 7.1 Yutu Island 64.1 16.6 8.1 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.0 5.7 7.5 5.7 5.7 5.7 7.5 7.6 7.6 6.3 2.6 6.3 2.6 6.4 3.6 6.9	West Sumbaura	61.7	10.0	0.4	0.5	Ndillidid Cauth Ualmahara	62.7	17.7	5.0	2.0
South Minimates 7.3 0.3 7.4 3.4 Topo Une-Une 7.19 9.3 3.2 2.4 4.3 Tidore Island City 67.8 4.4 5.0 5.8 Raja Ampat 64.2 10.3 8.4 5.0 Tanah Bumbu 62.2 21.9 5.1 11.7 West Sumba 64.1 16.2 6.6 8.1 Southeast Minahasa 68.1 17.8 7.1 2.1 Aru Island 66.3 6.6 3.9 5.3 Bone Bolango 65.0 15.1 6.0 8.2 Vest Manggarai 63.0 17.4 6.0 15.1 6.0 8.6 5.0 4.9 North Konawe 64.1 13.3 5.4 1.7 North Kayong 62.4 34.3 1.2 3.1 2.0 North Morowali 68.0 4.6 4.6 3.6 Soupiori 66.4 15.6 6.3 2.0 South Konawe 67.8 5.8 6.1 4.3 Rothada	West Sumbawa	75.2	10.9	5.1	/ ⊃.4		71.0	17.2	5./	2.0
Gunung Mas bb.0 20.6 6.8 3.4 Bintuni Bay bb.7 bb.2 5.7 2.4 Lamandau 68.2 7.4 5.2 3.6 Sarmi 64.2 10.3 84 5.0 Lamandau 68.2 7.4 5.2 3.6 Sarmi 64.2 10.3 8.4 5.0 Southeast Minahasa 68.1 17.8 7.1 2.1 Aru Island 66.3 1.6 6.6 8.1 Wakatobi 65.0 15.1 6.0 8.2 West Maluk Tenggara 63.0 1.2 6.0 9.1 Talaud Island 71.5 13.8 1.0.4 4.6 West Maluk Tenggara 6.0 8.0 9.1 7.5 4.1 7.0 North Kayong 6.4 15.6 6.3 2.6 6.3 2.6 6.3 2.6 6.3 2.6 6.4 15.6 6.3 2.6 6.4 15.6 6.3 2.4 7.4 4.0 3.3 Rote Ndao 6.0	South Minanasa	/5.3	6.3	7.4	3.4	Tojo Una-Una	/1.9	9.3	3.2	4.3
Idore Island City 67.8 7.4 5.2 3.6 Sarmi 64.2 10.3 8.4 5.4 0.9 Tanah Bumbu 62.2 21.9 5.1 11.7 West Sumbaa 64.1 16.2 6.6 8.1 5.3 Bone Bolango 69.0 14.0 6.8 8.1 East Seram 64.6 15.6 5.1 5.2 Wakatobi 65.4 13.9 6.5 3.6 Sula Island 63.6 6.0 9.1 7.4 6.0 8.2 West Manggarai 63.9 14.2 6.0 9.1 Talaud Island 71.5 13.8 10.4 4.6 West Maluku Tenggara 62.4 3.4 1.2 3.1 Buru 68.0 4.6 4.6 3.6 Suplori 66.4 15.6 6.3 2.6 North Morawe 67.8 5.1 South Sorong 64.1 15.6 6.3 2.6 South Konawe 67.8 5.8 5.1 South Sorong	Gunung Mas	65.0	20.6	6.8	3.4	Bintuni Bay	64./	6.2	5./	2.4
Lamandau 68.2 7.4 5.2 3.6 Sarmi 64.2 9.5 5.4 0.9 Tanah Bumbu 62.2 21.9 5.1 11.7 West Sumba 64.1 15.2 6.6 8.1 Southesat Minahasa 68.1 17.8 7.1 2.1 Aru Island 63.6 6.6 3.9 5.3 Bone Bolango 69.0 14.0 6.8 8.1 East Seram 64.6 15.6 5.1 5.2 Wakatobi 65.0 15.1 6.0 8.2 West Manggarai 63.9 14.2 6.0 9.1 Talaud Island 71.5 13.8 10.4 4.6 West Manggarai 62.0 8.6 5.0 4.9 North Konawe 64.1 15.3 10.4 4.6 West Manggarai 62.0 8.6 6.4 3.0 North Morowali 69.8 17.2 6.4 3.3 Rote Nalao 60.2 1.6 4.1 South Konawe 67.8 8.0	lidore Island City	67.8	4.4	5.0	5.8	Raja Ampat	64.2	10.3	8.4	5.0
Tanah Bumbu 62.2 21.9 5.1 11.7 West Sumbas 64.1 16.2 6.6 8.9 5.3 Southeast Minahasa 68.1 17.8 7.1 2.1 Aru Island 63.3 64.6 15.6 5.1 5.2 Wakatobi 65.4 13.9 6.5 3.6 Sule Island 63.0 61.0 6.0 9.1 Talaud Island 71.5 13.8 10.4 4.6 West Maluku Tenggara 62.0 8.6 5.0 4.9 North Konawe 64.1 13.3 5.4 1.7 North Kayong 62.4 3.3 1.2 3.1 Buru 68.0 4.6 4.6 3.6 Supiori 66.4 15.6 6.3 2.6 South Storong 64.1 15.9 5.3 Morth Kayong 60.2 17.7 7.4 Balagan 48.5 27.2 5.8 5.1 South Sorong 64.1 1.5 6.3 2.0 South Konawe 67.8 5.8 6.1 4.1 South Manokuu 65.6 8.9 7.3 <td>Lamandau</td> <td>68.2</td> <td>7.4</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>Sarmi</td> <td>64.2</td> <td>9.5</td> <td>5.4</td> <td>0.9</td>	Lamandau	68.2	7.4	5.2	3.6	Sarmi	64.2	9.5	5.4	0.9
Southeast Minahasa 68.1 17.8 7.1 2.1 Aru Island 66.3 66.3 6.6 3.9 5.3 Bone Bolango 69.0 14.0 6.8 8.1 East Seram 64.6 15.6 5.1 5.2 Wakatobi 65.0 15.1 6.0 8.2 West Manggarai 63.9 14.2 6.0 9.1 Talaud Island 71.5 13.8 10.4 4.6 West Mangkarai 63.9 14.2 6.0 9.1 North Konawe 64.1 5.3 5.9 3.5 Morotai Island 68.9 14.1 6.4 3.6 North Morowali 69.8 17.2 6.4 3.3 Rote Ndao 60.2 19.7 7.5 4.1 Balangan 48.5 2.7.2 5.8 5.1 South Morowali 61.8 6.1 18.9 2.2 7.7 3.1 Bulang Pisau 67.8 9.2 7.2 4.0 Central Sumba 59.0 7.2 5.7	Tanah Bumbu	62.2	21.9	5.1	11.7	West Sumba	64.1	16.2	6.6	8.1
Bone Bolango 69.0 14.0 6.8 8.1 East Seram 64.6 15.6 5.1 5.2 Wakatobi 65.4 13.9 6.5 3.6 Sula Island 63.6 7.0 5.7 2.5 North Toraja 65.0 15.1 6.0 8.2 West Malukt Tenggara 62.0 8.6 5.0 4.9 North Konawe 64.1 13.3 5.4 1.7 North Kayong 62.4 4.3 1.2 3.1 Buru 68.0 4.6 4.6 3.6 Supiori 66.4 15.6 6.3 2.6 Katingan 64.0 5.5 5.9 3.5 Morotai Island 60.2 1.7.2 4.1 Balangan 48.5 2.7.2 5.8 5.1 South Koroaw 61.1 1.3 2.4 4.4 Murung Raya 70.2 8.7 3.6 3.2 Boven Digoel 62.8 16.8 6.1 1.8 Sukamara 71.2 9.3	Southeast Minahasa	68.1	17.8	7.1	2.1	Aru Island	66.3	6.6	3.9	5.3
Wakatobi 65.4 13.9 6.5 3.6 Sula Island 63.6 7.0 5.7 2.5 North Toraja 65.0 15.1 6.0 8.2 West Manggarai 63.9 14.2 6.0 9.1 Talaud Island 71.5 13.8 10.4 4.6 West Maluku Tenggara 62.0 8.6 5.0 4.9 North Konawe 64.1 13.3 5.4 1.7 North Kayong 62.4 3.4.3 1.2 3.1 Buru 68.0 4.6 3.6 Supiri 66.4 15.6 6.3 2.6 Katingan 69.8 17.2 6.4 3.3 Rote Ndao 60.2 19.7 7.5 4.1 Balangan 48.5 27.2 5.8 5.1 South Konawe 66.1 11.3 4.2 4.4 Murung Raya 70.2 8.7 3.6 3.2 Boven Digoel 6.8 1.8 1.8 Sigi 65.9 8.6 5.1 3.2 Malka 67.7 13.9 6.0 3.3 Buol	Bone Bolango	69.0	14.0	6.8	8.1	East Seram	64.6	15.6	5.1	5.2
North Toraja 65.0 15.1 6.0 8.2 West Mangarai 63.9 14.2 6.0 9.1 Talaud Island 71.5 13.8 10.4 4.6 West Maluku Tenggara 62.0 8.6 5.0 4.9 North Konawe 64.1 13.3 5.4 1.7 North Kayong 62.4 34.3 1.2 3.1 Buru 68.0 4.6 4.6 3.6 Supiori 66.4 15.6 6.3 2.6 Katingan 69.8 17.2 6.4 3.3 Rote Ndao 60.2 19.7 7.5 4.1 Balangan 48.5 27.2 5.8 5.1 South Konawe 61.8 6.1 4.1 South Konawe 63.8 9.2 7.2 4.0 Central Sumba 50.0 7.2 5.7 3.7 Sukamara 71.2 9.3 1.1 4.1 Tataku Island 65.7 8.8 6.1 4.1 Tataku Island 65.7 8.8 5.1 3	Wakatobi	65.4	13.9	6.5	3.6	Sula Island	63.6	7.0	5.7	2.5
Talaud Island 71.5 13.8 10.4 4.6 West Maluku Tenggara 62.0 8.6 5.0 4.9 North Konawe 64.1 13.3 5.4 1.7 North Kayong 62.4 34.3 1.2 3.1 Buru 68.0 4.6 4.6 3.6 Supiori 66.4 15.6 3.2 North Morowali 69.8 17.2 6.4 3.3 Rote Ndao 60.2 19.7 7.5 4.1 Balangan 48.5 27.2 5.8 5.1 South Sorong 61.1 11.3 4.2 4.4 Murung Raya 70.2 8.7 3.6 3.2 Boven Digoel 62.8 16.8 6.1 1.8 Pulang Pisau 65.8 9.2 7.2 4.0 Central Sumba 50.0 7.2 5.7 3.7 Skamara 71.2 9.3 1.1 4.1 Taliabu Island 65.6 8.9 6.3 1.8 Sigi 50 8.6 5.1 3.2 Malaka 67.7 13.9 60.0 3.3	North Toraja	65.0	15.1	6.0	8.2	West Manggarai	63.9	14.2	6.0	9.1
North Konawe 64.1 13.3 5.4 1.7 North Kayong 62.4 34.3 1.2 3.1 Buru 68.0 4.6 3.6 Supiori 66.4 15.6 6.3 2.6 Katingan 64.0 5.9 5.9 3.5 Morotai Island 68.9 14.1 6.4 3.9 North Morowali 69.8 17.2 6.4 3.3 Rote Ndao 60.2 19.7 7.5 4.1 Balangan 48.5 27.2 5.8 5.1 South Konawe 66.1 11.3 4.2 4.4 Murung Raya 70.2 8.7 3.6 3.2 Boven Digoel 62.8 16.8 6.1 1.8 Sigi 65.9 8.6 5.1 3.2 Malaka 67.7 13.9 6.0 3.3 Buol 74.6 7.9 6.1 6.4 East Manggarai 54.9 3.7.4 4.0 5.0 North Buton 69.3 4.4 5.5 2.1 South Manokwari 46.6 36.0 3.7 0.6 <td< td=""><td>Talaud Island</td><td>71.5</td><td>13.8</td><td>10.4</td><td>4.6</td><td>West Maluku Tenggara</td><td>62.0</td><td>8.6</td><td>5.0</td><td>4.9</td></td<>	Talaud Island	71.5	13.8	10.4	4.6	West Maluku Tenggara	62.0	8.6	5.0	4.9
Buru 68.0 4.6 4.6 3.6 Supiori 66.4 15.6 6.3 2.6 Katingan 64.0 5.9 5.9 3.5 Morotai Island 68.9 14.1 6.4 3.9 North Morowali 69.8 17.2 5.8 5.1 South Sorong 64.1 15.9 6.3 2.0 South Konawe 67.8 5.8 6.1 4.1 South Maluku 66.1 11.3 4.2 4.4 Murung Raya 70.2 8.7 3.6 3.2 Boven Digoel 62.8 16.8 6.1 1.8 Pulang Pisau 65.8 9.2 7.2 4.0 Central Sumba 59.0 7.2 5.7 3.7 Sigi 65.9 8.6 5.1 3.2 Malaka 67.7 1.3.9 6.0 3.3 Buol 7.4 6.7 5.6 5.7 1.3.0 Wondama Bay 57.1 25.8 7.3 2.1 North Halmahera 41.	North Konawe	64.1	13.3	5.4	1.7	North Kayong	62.4	34.3	1.2	3.1
Barlo 64.0 5.9 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.9 5.9 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.9 5.9 5.0 5.0 5.9 5.9 5.9 5.0 5.2 0.0 3.3 5.0 5.0 5.2 0.4 5.0 5.2 0.4 5.0 5.2 0.4 1.1 5.0 5.2 0.4 1.1 5.1 <t< td=""><td>Buru</td><td>68.0</td><td>4.6</td><td>4.6</td><td>3.6</td><td>Suniori</td><td>66.4</td><td>15.6</td><td>63</td><td>2.6</td></t<>	Buru	68.0	4.6	4.6	3.6	Suniori	66.4	15.6	63	2.6
North Morowali 618 1.7. 6.4 3.3 Rote Ndao 60.2 11.7 6.4 1.3 Balangan 48.5 27.2 5.8 5.1 South Sorong 64.1 15.9 6.3 2.0 South Konawe 67.8 5.8 6.1 4.1 South Sorong 64.1 15.9 6.3 2.0 South Konawe 67.8 5.8 6.1 4.1 South West Maluku 66.1 11.3 4.2 4.4 Murung Raya 70.2 8.7 3.6 3.2 Boven Digoel 6.2.8 16.8 6.1 1.8 Pulang Pisau 65.8 9.2 7.2 4.0 Central Sumba 59.0 7.2 5.7 3.7 Sukamara 71.2 9.3 1.1 4.1 Taliaka 6.7 13.9 6.0 3.3 Buol 74.6 7.9 6.1 6.4 East Manggarai 54.9 37.4 4.0 5.0 North Halmahera 41.1<	Katingan	64.0	5 9	5 9	35	Morotai Island	68.9	14 1	6.4	3 0
North Holowan 67.3 17.2 5.4 5.7 3.7 Note Nabo 60.2 15.7 7.5 4.1 Balangan 48.5 27.2 5.8 5.1 South Sorong 64.1 15.9 6.3 2.0 South Konawe 67.8 5.8 6.1 4.1 Southwest Maluku 66.1 11.3 4.2 4.4 Murung Raya 70.2 8.7 3.6 3.2 Boven Digoel 62.8 16.8 6.1 1.8 Pulang Pisau 65.8 9.2 7.2 4.0 Central Sumba 59.0 7.2 7.3 3.3 Sigi 65.9 8.6 5.1 3.2 Malaka 67.7 13.9 6.0 3.3 Buol 74.6 7.9 6.1 6.4 East Manggarai 54.9 3.7 4.0 5.0 North Buton 69.3 4.4 5.5 2.1 South Manokwari 46.6 36.0 3.7 0.6 Kub Raya 64.1 17.6 5.6 8.7 Maypi 63.4 18.1 71	North Morowali	60.8	17.2	6.4	3.3	Pote Ndao	60.2	10.7	75	
Dataingeri 76.3 27.2 3.6 3.1 South Storing 67.1 13.9 6.3 2.0 South Konawe 67.8 5.8 6.1 4.1 Southwest Maluku 66.1 11.3 4.2 4.4 Murung Raya 70.2 8.7 3.6 3.2 Boven Digoel 62.8 16.8 6.1 1.8 Pulang Pisau 65.8 9.2 7.2 4.0 Central Sumba 59.0 7.2 5.7 3.7 Sukamara 71.2 9.3 1.1 4.1 1.1 4.1 7.1 6.3 1.8 Sigi 65.9 8.6 5.1 3.2 Malaka 67.7 13.9 6.0 3.3 Buol 74.6 7.9 6.1 6.4 East Mangarai 54.9 37.4 4.0 5.0 North Halmahera 41.1 37.5 5.6 8.7 Maybrat 46.2 35.0 5.2 0.4 Tana Tidung 63.4 32.9 3.4 2.8 Mapbrat 46.2 39.6 5.4 10.7	Balangan	19.0	27.2	50	5.5	South Sorong	64.1	15.0	63	2.0
Solut Notawe 67.3 5.6 6.1 4.1 Solumest Matuku 66.1 11.3 4.2 4.7 Murung Raya 70.2 8.7 3.6 3.2 Boven Digoel 62.8 16.8 6.1 1.8 Pulang Pisau 65.8 9.2 7.2 4.0 Central Sumba 59.0 7.2 5.7 3.7 Sukamara 71.2 9.3 1.1 4.1 Taliabu Island 65.6 8.9 6.3 1.8 Sigi 65.9 8.6 5.1 3.2 Malaka 67.7 13.9 6.0 3.3 Buol 74.6 7.9 6.1 6.4 East Manggarai 54.9 3.7.4 4.0 5.0 North Buton 69.3 4.4 5.5 2.1 South Manokwari 46.6 36.0 5.2 0.4 Tana Tidung 63.4 32.9 3.4 2.8 Mappi 63.4 18.1 7.1 1.5 Tual City 56.0 38.6 5.5 3.2 Sabu Raijua 46.5 39.6 5.4 10.7	South Konowo	40.J	Z/.Z	5.0	J.1 / 1	Southwast Maluku	66 1	11.5	4.2	2.0
Murung Kaya70.28.73.63.2Boden Digden62.816.86.11.6Pulang Pisau65.89.27.24.0Central Sumba59.07.25.73.7Sukamara71.29.31.14.1Taliabu Island65.68.96.31.8Sigi65.98.65.13.2Malaka67.713.96.03.3Buol74.67.96.16.4East Manggarai54.937.44.05.0North Halmahera41.137.56.713.0Wondama Bay57.125.87.32.1North Buton69.34.45.52.1South Manokwari46.636.03.70.6Kubu Raya64.117.65.68.7Maybrat46.539.65.410.7Tual City56.038.65.53.2Sabu Raijua46.539.65.410.7Seruyan65.16.26.84.7Paniai43.230.66.01.6Mahakam Ulu66.512.84.91.9Arfak Mountains63.416.25.30.4Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.	Mumma Dava	07.0	5.0	0.1	4.1	Southwest Maluku	60.1	11.5	4.2	1.4
Pulang Pisau65.89.27.24.0Central Sumba59.07.25.73.7Sukamara71.29.31.14.1Taliabu Island65.68.96.31.8Sigi65.98.65.13.2Malaka67.713.96.03.3Buol74.67.96.16.4East Manggarai54.937.44.05.0North Halmahera41.137.56.713.0Wondama Bay57.125.87.32.1North Buton69.34.45.52.1South Manokwari46.636.03.70.6Kubu Raya64.117.65.68.7Maybrat46.235.05.20.4Tana Tidung63.432.93.42.8Mappi63.418.17.11.5Tual City56.038.65.53.2Sabu Raijua46.539.65.410.7Seruyan65.112.84.91.9Arfak Mountains63.416.25.30.4Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.210.25.33.2Dogiyai64.130.87.70.3Sat Tagulandang Biaro65.711.07.23.3Mamberano Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.9 <t< td=""><td></td><td>70.2</td><td>8.7</td><td>3.6</td><td>3.2</td><td>Boven Digoel</td><td>62.8</td><td>10.8</td><td>6.1</td><td>1.8</td></t<>		70.2	8.7	3.6	3.2	Boven Digoel	62.8	10.8	6.1	1.8
Sukamara /1.2 9.3 1.1 4.1 Taliabu Island 65.6 8.9 6.3 1.8 Sigi 65.9 8.6 5.1 3.2 Malaka 67.7 13.9 6.0 3.3 Buol 74.6 7.9 6.1 6.4 East Manggarai 54.9 37.4 4.0 5.0 North Buton 69.3 4.4 5.5 2.1 South Manokwari 46.6 36.0 3.7 0.6 Kubu Raya 64.1 17.6 5.6 8.7 Maybrat 46.2 35.0 5.2 0.4 Tana Tidung 63.4 32.9 3.4 2.8 Mappi 63.4 18.1 7.1 1.5 Tual City 56.0 38.6 5.5 3.2 Sabu Raijua 46.5 39.6 5.4 10.7 Seruyan 65.1 6.2 6.8 4.7 Paniai 43.2 30.6 6.0 1.6 Siau Tagulandang Biaro 65.7 11.0 7.2 3.3 Mamberamo Raya 63.2 16.0 7.0 0.6	Pulang Pisau	65.8	9.2	7.2	4.0	Central Sumba	59.0	7.2	5./	3./
Sigi 65.9 8.6 5.1 3.2 Malaka 67./ 13.9 6.0 3.3 Buol 74.6 7.9 6.1 6.4 East Manggarai 54.9 37.4 4.0 5.0 North Halmahera 41.1 37.5 6.7 13.0 Wondama Bay 57.1 25.8 7.3 2.1 North Buton 69.3 4.4 5.5 2.1 South Manokwari 46.6 36.0 3.7 0.6 Kubu Raya 64.1 17.6 5.6 8.7 Maybrat 46.2 35.0 5.2 0.4 Tana Tidung 63.4 32.9 3.4 2.8 Mappi 63.4 18.1 7.1 1.5 Seruyan 65.5 16.2 6.8 4.7 Paniai 43.2 30.6 6.0 1.6 Mahakam Ulu 66.5 12.8 4.9 1.9 Arfak Mountains 63.4 16.2 5.3 0.4 Siau Tagulandang Biaro 65.7 11.0 7.2 3.3 Mamberamo Raya 63.2 10.3 1.4 39.3<	Sukamara	/1.2	9.3	1.1	4.1	I aliabu Island	65.6	8.9	6.3	1.8
Buol 74.6 7.9 6.1 6.4 East Manggarai 54.9 37.4 4.0 5.0 North Halmahera 41.1 37.5 6.7 13.0 Wondama Bay 57.1 25.8 7.3 2.1 North Buton 69.3 4.4 5.5 2.1 South Manokwari 46.6 36.0 3.7 0.6 Kubu Raya 64.1 17.6 5.6 8.7 Maybrat 46.2 35.0 5.2 0.4 Tana Tidung 63.4 32.9 3.4 2.8 Mappi 63.4 18.1 7.1 1.5 Seruyan 65.1 6.2 6.8 4.7 Paniai 43.2 30.6 6.4 10.7 Seruyan 65.2 10.2 5.3 3.2 Dogiyai 64.1 30.8 7.7 0.3 East Halmahera 70.7 24.3 7.6 5.7 Tambrauw 62.3 13.8 7.0 0.6 North Mamuju 65.7 11.0 7.2 3.3 Mamberamo Raya 63.2 16.0 7.0 0.6	Sigi	65.9	8.6	5.1	3.2	Malaka	6/./	13.9	6.0	3.3
North Halmahera41.137.56.713.0Wondama Bay57.125.87.32.1North Buton69.34.45.52.1South Manokwari46.636.03.70.6Kubu Raya64.117.65.68.7Maybrat46.235.05.20.4Tana Tidung63.432.93.42.8Mappi63.418.17.11.5Tual City56.038.65.53.2Sabu Raijua46.539.65.410.7Seruyan65.16.26.84.7Paniai43.230.66.01.6Mahakam Ulu66.512.84.91.9Arfak Mountains63.416.25.30.4Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.210.25.33.2Dogiyai64.130.87.70.3East Halmahera70.724.37.65.7Tambrauw63.216.07.00.6North Mamuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.9<	Buol	/4.6	/.9	6.1	6.4	East Manggarai	54.9	37.4	4.0	5.0
North Buton69.34.45.52.1South Manokwari46.636.03.70.6Kubu Raya64.117.65.68.7Maybrat46.235.05.20.4Tana Tidung63.432.93.42.8Mappi63.418.17.11.5Tual City56.038.65.53.2Sabu Raijua46.539.65.410.7Seruyan65.16.26.84.7Paniai43.230.66.01.6Mahakam Ulu66.512.84.91.9Arfak Mountains63.416.25.30.4Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.210.25.33.2Dogiyai64.130.87.70.3East Halmahera70.724.37.65.7Tambrauw63.216.07.00.6North Manuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Gorontalo61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.9	North Halmahera	41.1	37.5	6.7	13.0	Wondama Bay	57.1	25.8	7.3	2.1
Kubu Raya64.117.65.68.7Maybrat46.235.05.20.4Tana Tidung63.432.93.42.8Mappi63.418.17.11.5Tual City56.038.65.53.2Sabu Raijua46.539.65.410.7Seruyan65.16.26.84.7Paniai43.230.66.01.6Mahakam Ulu66.512.84.91.9Arfak Mountains63.416.25.30.4Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.210.25.33.2Dogiyai64.130.87.70.3East Halmahera70.724.37.65.7Tambrauw62.313.87.00.6North Mamuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.93.77.36.4Gorontalo66.127.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23	North Buton	69.3	4.4	5.5	2.1	South Manokwari	46.6	36.0	3.7	0.6
Tana Tidung63.432.93.42.8Mappi63.418.17.11.5Tual City56.038.65.53.2Sabu Raijua46.539.65.410.7Seruyan65.16.26.84.7Paniai43.230.66.01.6Mahakam Ulu66.512.84.91.9Arfak Mountains63.416.25.30.4Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.210.25.33.2Dogiyai64.130.87.70.3East Halmahera70.724.37.65.7Tambrauw62.313.87.00.6North Mamuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.74.2.23.71.5Bagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.9 <t< td=""><td>Kubu Raya</td><td>64.1</td><td>17.6</td><td>5.6</td><td>8.7</td><td>Maybrat</td><td>46.2</td><td>35.0</td><td>5.2</td><td>0.4</td></t<>	Kubu Raya	64.1	17.6	5.6	8.7	Maybrat	46.2	35.0	5.2	0.4
Tual City56.038.65.53.2Sabu Raijua46.539.65.410.7Seruyan65.16.26.84.7Paniai43.230.66.01.6Mahakam Ulu66.512.84.91.9Arfak Mountains63.416.25.30.4Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.210.25.33.2Dogiyai64.130.87.70.3East Halmahera70.724.37.65.7Tambrauw62.313.87.00.6North Mamuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.7<	Tana Tidung	63.4	32.9	3.4	2.8	Mappi	63.4	18.1	7.1	1.5
Seruyan65.16.26.84.7Paniai43.230.66.01.6Mahakam Ulu66.512.84.91.9Arfak Mountains63.416.25.30.4Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.210.25.33.2Dogiyai64.130.87.70.3East Halmahera70.724.37.65.7Tambrauw62.313.87.00.6North Mamuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.4<	Tual City	56.0	38.6	5.5	3.2	Sabu Raijua	46.5	39.6	5.4	10.7
Mahakam Ulu66.512.84.91.9Arfak Mountains63.416.25.30.4Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.210.25.33.2Dogiyai64.130.87.70.3East Halmahera70.724.37.65.7Tambrauw62.313.87.00.6North Mamuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.13.4.04.30.8Gorontalo66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5Bagkeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.1 <td>Seruyan</td> <td>65.1</td> <td>6.2</td> <td>6.8</td> <td>4.7</td> <td>Paniai</td> <td>43.2</td> <td>30.6</td> <td>6.0</td> <td>1.6</td>	Seruyan	65.1	6.2	6.8	4.7	Paniai	43.2	30.6	6.0	1.6
Siau Tagulandang Biaro65.210.25.33.2Dogiyai64.130.87.70.3East Halmahera70.724.37.65.7Tambrauw62.313.87.00.6North Mamuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo66.124.05.09.0Tolikara51.036.06.30.4Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.7<	Mahakam Ulu	66.5	12.8	4.9	1.9	Arfak Mountains	63.4	16.2	5.3	0.4
East Halmahera70.724.37.65.7Tambrauw62.313.87.00.6North Mamuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo66.124.05.09.0Tolikara51.036.06.30.4Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	65.2	10.2	5.3	3.2	Dogivai	64.1	30.8	7.7	0.3
North Mamuju65.711.07.23.3Mamberamo Raya63.216.07.00.6North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai48.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo66.124.05.09.0Tolikara51.036.06.30.4Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	Fast Halmahera	70.7	24.3	7.6	5.7	Tambrauw	62.3	13.8	7.0	0.6
North Bolaang Mongondow67.35.72.91.7Deiyai68.039.36.90.3Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo66.124.05.09.0Tolikara51.036.06.30.4Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	North Mamuiu	65.7	11.0	7.2	33	Mamberamo Rava	63.2	16.0	7.0	0.6
Nunukan58.526.85.15.6Asmat27.937.37.36.3Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo66.124.05.09.0Tolikara51.036.06.30.4Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	North Bolaang Mongondow	67.3	5 7	2 9	17	Deivai	48.0	20.2	6.9	0.0
Name50.520.65.15.6Ashiat27.957.3750.4Keerom61.627.56.02.1Yahukimo58.134.04.30.8Gorontalo66.124.05.09.0Tolikara51.036.06.30.4Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	Nunukan	58 5	26.8	5 1	5.6	Asmat	27.0	373	73	6.4
Recommendation61.027.36.027.1Faitking50.150.150.361.04.30.3Gorontalo66.124.05.09.0Tolikara51.036.06.30.4Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	Koorom	61.6	20.0	5.1	2.0	Vahukimo	50 1	34.0	/.5	0.7
Gorontalo66.124.05.09.010lkara51.036.06.30.4Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	Carantala	01.0	27.5	0.0	2.1		50.1	26.0	т.5 С Э	0.0
Landak66.17.55.25.5Puncak Jaya50.329.96.51.5Nagekeo61.129.45.44.0Lanny Jaya57.234.96.61.2East Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	Gorontalo	66.1	24.0	5.0	9.0	Tolikara	51.0	36.0	6.3	0.4
Nagekeo 61.1 29.4 5.4 4.0 Lanny Jaya 5.2 34.9 6.6 1.2 East Bolaang Mongondow 67.7 9.8 5.1 2.9 Yalimo 45.7 42.2 3.7 1.5 East Kolaka 61.5 30.1 5.5 2.7 Intan Jaya 54.4 39.2 5.1 0.4 Bombana 64.9 5.1 5.8 4.8 Central Mamberamo 47.9 32.7 4.7 0.1 Melawi 62.6 18.2 6.3 3.2 Bintang Mountains 49.1 43.6 4.7 1.2 East Seram 67.2 11.1 6.7 3.2 Puncak 54.0 30.4 5.9 6.1 Boalemo 60.5 28.8 5.5 4.5 Nduga 45.5 36.4 5.7 0.7	Landak	66.1	7.5	5.2	5.5	Puncak Jaya	50.3	29.9	6.5	1.5
Last Bolaang Mongondow67.79.85.12.9Yalimo45.742.23.71.5East Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	мадекео	61.1	29.4	5.4	4.0	Lanny Jaya	5/.2	34.9	6.6	1.2
Last Kolaka61.530.15.52.7Intan Jaya54.439.25.10.4Bombana64.95.15.84.8Central Mamberamo47.932.74.70.1Melawi62.618.26.33.2Bintang Mountains49.143.64.71.2East Seram67.211.16.73.2Puncak54.030.45.96.1Boalemo60.528.85.54.5Nduga45.536.45.70.7	East Bolaang Mongondow	67.7	9.8	5.1	2.9	Yalimo	45.7	42.2	3.7	1.5
Bombana 64.9 5.1 5.8 4.8 Central Mamberamo 47.9 32.7 4.7 0.1 Melawi 62.6 18.2 6.3 3.2 Bintang Mountains 49.1 43.6 4.7 1.2 East Seram 67.2 11.1 6.7 3.2 Puncak 54.0 30.4 5.9 6.1 Boalemo 60.5 28.8 5.5 4.5 Nduga 45.5 36.4 5.7 0.7	East Kolaka	61.5	30.1	5.5	2.7	Intan Jaya	54.4	39.2	5.1	0.4
Melawi 62.6 18.2 6.3 3.2 Bintang Mountains 49.1 43.6 4.7 1.2 East Seram 67.2 11.1 6.7 3.2 Puncak 54.0 30.4 5.9 6.1 Boalemo 60.5 28.8 5.5 4.5 Nduga 45.5 36.4 5.7 0.7	Bombana	64.9	5.1	5.8	4.8	Central Mamberamo	47.9	32.7	4.7	0.1
East Seram 67.2 11.1 6.7 3.2 Puncak 54.0 30.4 5.9 6.1 Boalemo 60.5 28.8 5.5 4.5 Nduga 45.5 36.4 5.7 0.7	Melawi	62.6	18.2	6.3	3.2	Bintang Mountains	49.1	43.6	4.7	1.2
Boalemo 60.5 28.8 5.5 4.5 Nduga 45.5 36.4 5.7 0.7	East Seram	67.2	11.1	6.7	3.2	Puncak	54.0	30.4	5.9	6.1
	Boalemo	60.5	28.8	5.5	4.5	Nduga	45.5	36.4	5.7	0.7

Table 1. Development Indicators in New Regions in Eastern Indonesia, 2017

HDI: Human Development Index; FD: Fiscal Decentralization; EG: Economic Growth; PR: Poverty Rate

City/Regency	HDI	EG	FD	PR	City/Regency	HDI	EG	FD	PR
		%	%	%			%	%	%
Kendari City	62.4	16.1	7.3	28.2	Kapuas	64.2	31.0	5.1	5.2
Makassar City	81.1	4.6	8.2	34.9	Tanah Laut	66.1	26.8	4.5	6.8
Palu City	79.0	2.8	3.8	21.6	South Hulu Sungai	66.3	13.4	6.8	6.3
Ambon City	81.8	5.0	6.4	11.6	Kota Baru	66.7	8.3	8.3	8.6
Palangka Rava City	77.9	5.3	5.1	11.4	Hulu Sungai Tengah	74.1	8.4	6.9	7.5
Samarinda City	80.2	6.7	5.9	21.6	Baniar	66.3	6.9	7.4	9.1
Javapura City	79.5	4.8	3.6	11.6	North Kolaka	69.0	9.2	8.2	4.6
Balikpapan City	76.1	5.7	7.4	31.9	Muna	71.0	13.0	7.0	5.3
Baniar Baru City	76.5	4.2	6.4	16.2	Bantaeng	66.7	16.7	4.4	10.7
Kupang City	76.7	17.8	8.4	14.5	Pangkaiene And Islands	70.7	9.9	5.1	12.2
Manado City	79.5	5.2	0.7	19.6	Nabire	61.4	16.0	5.8	4.3
Pontianak City	71.5	7.7	3.1	26.9	Bulukumba	72.0	5.9	6.8	14.3
Mataram City	70.4	14.6	14.4	21.8	Tana Toraia	65.4	13.3	7.6	10.4
Pare-Pare City	66.4	16.5	6.6	13.5	Soppena	72.2	7.5	6.5	7.2
Banjarmasin City	78.3	9.8	6.8	18.3	Ngada	68.6	10.8	7.5	5.4
Tarakan City	70.3	5.4	5.4	9.0	West Lombok	69.3	5.5	6.1	14.8
Minahasa	76.7	5.7	7.0	6.2	Dompu	65.8	15.3	7.4	7.2
Berau	71.6	25.4	-4.6	10.7	Mamuju	63.0	6 5	59	71
Bitung City	72.9	6.6	6.2	12.4	Ende	64.9	12.2	5.2	49
Kutai Kartanegara	67.3	16.2	6.6	10.6	Fakfak	63.9	21.3	6.8	5.0
West Kotawaringin	69.1	5.0	4 1	11.8	Bolaang Mongondow	64.6	4 5	45	4 0
Biak Numfor	79.7	3.6	70	12.4	Yanen Island	67.6	14.9	5.0	73
Enrekang	67.3	97	73	10.0	Bengkayang	62 1	27 1	59	4.6
Pasir	73.6	54	3.0	6.2	Sambas	69 1	5.2	6.0	6.2
lavanura	55.2	31.1	5.1	6.9	Sumbawa	62 1	23.6	33	83
Tabalong	72.8	7.6	14	97	Siniai	68.0	53	7.6	6.3
Bulungan	66.8	12.6	75	6.4	Ketapang	59.6	21.7	49	5 1
Manokwari	68.3	8.4	7.2	5 1	Takalar	68.7	3.8	5 1	10.7
Konawe	64 7	18.2	5.0	77	Majene	66 1	26.0	71	72
Fast Kotawaringin	64.2	6.7	5.8	12.0	Kenulauan Selavar	62.4	20.0	0.0	6.2
Central Maluku	67.8	3.0	47	4 1	Sintang	62.9	10.8	5.2	53
Pinrang	78 5	27	7.6	89	Bima	64.9	23.9	59	7.2
Sidenreng Rappang	69.9	85	7.0	8.8	Southeast Maluku	64 5	12.4	65	5.0
Poso	69.8	53	71	65	Barito Kuala	67.8	16.2	6.0	44
Barru	69.6	97	65	8.8	Donggala	66.0	7 5	57	93
South Barito	66.3	53	6.6	59	Sanggala	64.2	21.9	67	54
Kepulauan Sangihe	75 3	65	89	4 2	Buton	60.2	30.2	6 1	2.2
North Barito	65.8	15.3	6.8	53	Fast Lombok	67.1	25.4	6.4	11.2
	67.9	14.4	8.2	75	Central Lombok	69 1	11.8	55	9.2
Banggai	62.3	29.3	5.0	7.0	Hulu Sungai Utara	62.0	23 5	51	9.2
Tanin	72.4	14.9	5.7	5 1	Fast Sumba	70 1	21.2	5.8	73
Merauke	62.8	22.9	5 1	61	Kapuas Hulu	67.0	5.2	59	2.8
Maros	68.4	14 3	7.6	14 1	Bone	66 1	8.0	67	2.8
North Luwu	70.2	15.7	5.2	7.8	Toli-Toli	59.4	36.0	49	6.9
Gowa	70.6	7.6	23	10.1	Sorong	70 1	9.8	6.5	5.6
Waio	67.8	6.1	57	8.6	Sikka	67.4	15.7	75	9.4
North Central Timor	61 2	37.4	4.0	4 1	Fast Flores	71 2	8 1	6.0	5.0
Southwest Sumba	64 5	13 5	49	45	Kupang	64.4	12 5	4.8	7.8
Belu	65.0	16.7	49	63	leneponto	61 5	9.9	5.4	95
South Central Timor	58 1	36.4	5 2	4.6	Polewali Mandar	64 1	14.2	63	99.6
Alor	60.1	19.9	43	5.1	Manggarai	62.6	4 1	16.2	84
lavawijava	62.8	18.4	6.4	5.6	Pontianak/Mempawah Regency	68.0	16.2	6.0	6.8
HDI: Human Development Index: FD	: Fiscal	Decentra	alization:	EG: Eco	pnomic growth: PR: Poverty Rate	5015	1012	0.0	0.0

Table 2. Development Indicators in Origin Regions in Eastern Indonesia, 2017

Furthermore, in terms of status, in the extremely high and high categories, there were 31 origin regencies (with the average of human development index 75.26) and 22 new regencies (with the average of 73.01). There are 151 regencies grouped in medium category for human development index (with average of 65.32). While it can be indicated

that smaller numbers of origin area are calculated, there were as many as 71 regions (with human development index of 66.03) if being compared with new regencies with 80 regions (with average of human development index of 64.69). Moreover, the human development index for the low category was dominated by 28 regencies (with average of human development index of 51.53). Nduga regency was categorized as the region with the lowest category with index score of 27.87. In detail, there were two origin regions that are categorized as having the lowest human development index (with the average of 57.80) and 26 new regions (with average of 50.86). This condition was also experienced by origin and new regencies, in terms of status. For Statusbased comparison towards entire categories of human development, the human development index in new regencies was smaller than origin regencies. This shows the existence of Human Development Index (HDI) gap in Eastern Indonesia. In other words, human development in Eastern Indonesia is considered unequal.

Besides, the level of human development is not the determining factor for economic growth, fiscal decentralization and poverty. As displayed in Table 2, the region with the highest human development score did not always mean it had high economic growth and decentralization and lower poverty rate, and so did the regions with low human development index. In other words, a region has various levels of economic growth, fiscal decentralization and poverty certain human development rate in index. Nevertheless, regions with better human development index is aligned with high fiscal decentralization.

Factors Affecting Human Development

In addition to the popularity of the income gap problem, the problem of human development inequality also requires more attention in its development. Even after the era of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), the era of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) still raise the problem of inequality and human development. SDGs show that human development has always been an important issue in development planning and strategies. Dummy variable regression is one of the right approaches to answer the purpose of this study, which specifically discusses the identification of differences in the gap of human development in the origin region and expansion in Eastern Indonesia and the determinants of human development. The following is the results of dummy variable regression.

The goodness of fit test results based on Table 3 for simultaneous tests can conclude that the model used exists. It means that simultaneously independent variables in the study have turned away and have been influenced significantly by the human development index. These results based on p-value of F statistic of 0.0000 was smaller than 1%. Moreover, the interpretation of the determinant coefficient (R2) showed a value of 0.6406, which means that the variance variable independently in this study can explain the variables of human development index of 64.06%, while the remaining 35.94% explained by other factors was not included in the model.

Table 3. Estimate Variable Affecting Human Development

Variable	Coefficient	p value
Constant (C)	73.8736	0.0000
Dummy (D1)	-3.7924	0.0931
Fiscal Decentralization (FDPAD)	0.1109	0.0062
Poverty (POVR)	-0.3096	0.0000
Economic growth (EG)	0.4485	0.2207
D1*FDPAD	-0.0940	0.0001
D1*POVR	0.1426	0.1686
D1*EG	-0.3096	0.6169
Goodness of Fit		

 $D_{2} = 0.641$; E statistic = E

R2= 0.641; F statistic=56.788 Adjustment R2=0.629; p value=0.000 <u>Diagnostics Test</u> Normality Test: Jarque-Bera=4.2695; p value=0.118

Heteroskedasticity Test: Harvey=14.5117, p value=0.043

It is worth noting that the number of observations in this study covers all regencies and cities in Eastern Indonesia except Nduga regency. It was not included in the observation because it was estimated to be biased. By not including outlier data, it is expected that the normal distribution would follow the regression analysis assumption. Therefore, the diagnostic test in the research requires fulfilling the normal residual distribution, homoscedasticity, and exact model specifications.

As displayed in Table 3, the regression results show the findings regarding the existence of a gap in human development between the origin regency and the new regency in Eastern Indonesia. The gap in human development between the two regions explains the differences in the quality of human resources. A significant human development gap between origin regency and new regency is reflected as the level of significance partially for p-value of tstatistic was 0.0931, smaller than 10%, and the coefficient on the dummy variable (D1). The p-value of t-statistic 0.0931 was smaller than 10%, and the coefficient value was -3.7924. The condition of the quality of human resources in the new regency was 3.7924 lower than in the origin regency. This was caused by two factors, namely the condition where the development of underdeveloped regions was hampered by regions that have advanced or are backward (backwash effects) and the condition where the development of underdeveloped regions was driven by regions that were more advanced first. Therefore, because of the exacerbation of interregional social-economic development gaps, the effect of spread effects was smaller than backwash effects.

There are three indications of backwash effects that occurred in eastern Indonesia. First, excessive exploitation of natural resources from remote and developing areas. Second, the low potential and quality of human resources due to a large number of remote and developing areas with low education, infrastructure, health, and income. In other words, the quality of human resources in the origin regency tends to be better than the new regency. Third, the readiness of development in origin regions that have been arranged earlier and running well in terms of institutional, social, economic, political, and others in autonomy, while a new regency needs relatively more time to be autonomous. It is hoped that in the long run, the formation of new regions would be able to overcome gaps in various aspects.

This finding is in agreement with <u>Bappenas</u> (2008) findings which showed that the economic performance of new autonomous regions is lagging in comparison to origin regions and other regions. This is generally due to limited human resources and inadequate government support for the economy through public investment.

In Table 3, the regression results show findings that support regional autonomy for equity and welfare in origin and new regencies in Eastern Indonesia. Partially fiscal decentralization has a significant positive effect on human development index in origin and new regencies. This was indicated by the p-value of fiscal decentralization of origin regencies by 0.0062 and new regencies by 0.0001 smaller than 1%. The enactment of regional autonomy through the instrument of fiscal decentralization turned out to have a positive impact on regional development, particularly in improving the quality of human resources in origin and new regencies. The implication is that origin and new regencies will better understand local preferences with fiscal decentralization policies and be able to increase the ability of regions to manage fiscal capacity wisely, optimizing and allocating the potential of their resources efficiently. Besides, through the measurement of fiscal decentralization with the ratio of regional own-source revenues to total revenues that have a significant positive impact, this becomes evidence for regions to become more independent. Fretes (2017) added that increasing Regional Development Budget (APBD) input through the potential of Locally-generated Revenue (PAD) will make the region's ability to finance capital expenditures larger so that it can increase outcomes in the form of increasing the human development index. Efforts to increase PAD must also look at regional resources and capabilities so that there is no trade-off where the passionate desire to boost PAD becomes a disincentive that kills regional economic (investment) potential.

The quality improvement of local government apparatus and services to the public and private sector in having greater benefits is a logical consequence of wise budgeting management. Properly-managed budget allocation provides opportunity and assurance to improve production in the private sector. Private sector is expected for providing contribution to local government in terms of the expansion of job opportunities that will become one of the sources of PAD (Putu, Maryunani, Fajri, & Budi, 2019). Therefore, the increase of PAD can be followed with the adjustment of regional expenditures, designated for the improvement of human resource development in the field of education, health and per capita income, to meet the equal human development in the entire region.

Table 3 shows that poverty reduction does not significantly influence the increase in the human development index in the new regency. Although it does not have a substantial impact, poverty alleviation indicates a positive thing in increasing the human development index, and ultimately the government continues to strive to improve the welfare of the community. Different things happen in the origin regency, where reducing poverty can improve the quality of human resources. Therefore, it can be concluded that poverty reduction has a significant adverse effect on the human development index in the origin regency. Pro-poor government programs and policies are expected to improve the quality of human resources. Provision of stimulants, assistance, and facilities through programs of basic needs, such as education, health, and economy, is expected to improve community welfare. This, of course, also must be supported by institutional improvement (good governance). Sulistyowati et al. (2017) said that picking up part of government and household computer schemes has an impact on the human development index. Increased employment opportunities, increased community capacity, and poverty alleviation are goals in human development. Economic and human development goals can be achieved by increasing the capacity of education, health, and community income simultaneously. Schemes can be offered by increasing education spending to increase the workforce and improve physical models through improving health and infrastructure spending. With an increase in physical capital and labour expected to increase Gross Domestic Product (GDP), per capita income, and human development index.

On the other hand, an increase in GDP is expected to increase people's income and encourage people to increase household spending on education, health, and other expenses. Government expenditure and household expenditure are expected to reduce poverty. Decreasing the number of poor people will increase the index of human development.

The last discussion shows that the statistical problem of economic growth in the origin and new regions were 0.2207 and 0.6169, which was higher than any significance level. The conclusion for the test results shows that economic growth had no significant effect on the human development index both in origin and new regencies. The result becomes a new finding that sustainable economic growth needs to be done in origin and new regencies in Eastern Indonesia, so the sustainable economic growth is not only oriented to the high value of economic growth through resource exploitation and consumption alone. This research also indicates that economic growth does not affect the guality improvement of human resources due to the low economic activity in Eastern Indonesia and concentrated economic activity in Western Indonesia. The results of this study are not in line with research conducted by Fretes (2017) in which economic growth should be able to influence an increase in output capital expenditure as a whole, not only from government spending but also investment from the private sector. Economic growth will increase the revenue budget obtained by the government, followed by the addition of capital expenditure allocations. Increased output of capital expenditure from the government and the private sector is the result of increased economic growth and also influence the increase in the human development index.

Research Implication

The findings provide some support for the reduction of human development gap in origin and new regencies in Eastern Indonesia. This study provides the implications as follows.

First, development programs should reconsider the alleviation of poverty and economic growth that has insignificant impacts in the area of origin and expansion. This is particularly in terms of the quality improvement in development trough efforts to meet equal human development in every region. Hence, the economic growth will be qualified and sustained. The specific purpose is to distribute the growth evenly, particularly for qualified human development, without only exploiting the resources.

Secondly, the government should improve the management quality for regencies and cities of origin and expansion in terms of fiscal decentralization and regional autonomy policy. Budgeting management takes firm budgeting structure, since good budgeting governance in decentralization is probably not aligned with proper budgeting structure. The combination of fiscal decentralization between budgeting governance and structure should be balanced with the efforts of enhancing the revenue from internal sources (Original Local Government Revenue) and expenditures. Aside from reducing the dependency on development funding from the central government, increased local revenue can be aligned with the local expenditures, particularly on human resources quality improvement, in the field of education, health and per capita income, to meet equal human development in every region.

According to <u>Elia et al. (2020)</u>, regional finances that are managed more efficiently by focusing on community economic activities can create investment opportunities and create jobs based on the prominent regional product. This is supported by the opinion of <u>Siburian (2020)</u> that autonomy encourages the local governments in designing development programs that match unique characteristics of a particular region and distributing more balanced resources within it. Decentralization also encourages a local government to efficiently provide public services in need. Ultimately, decentralization motivates local politicians to effectively allocate local public goods and services. Liu et al. (2017) added that effects of fiscal decentralization on inequality tend to be significant when it is measured from the expenditure side. These findings may help us to understand that not only government expenditure can reduce inequality. Results of the studies provide further support for measure of fiscal decentralization from government revenue side in reducing inequality. Fiscal Decentralization shows the ratio of original regional revenue to total revenue.

Thirdly, according to Bappenas (2008) it is necessary for a preparatory period for transfer of personnel and for local economic and governance infrastructure to be established before proceeding with administrative division so that the new autonomous regions have better development performance. One indisputable prerequisite is the equitable division of economic potential for new autonomous regions to develop on par with the parent regions. In the short-term, changes in the pattern of personnel and development expenditure are necessary to stimulate local demand for goods and services. Full support must be provided for the development of agriculture as an economic base for new autonomous regions. Moving forward, there is an urgent need for fundamental changes in the law governing the division and establishment of new autonomous regions.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Measurements that use the human development index can show improvements in the quality of human resources better in human development. Increased human development index shows the indication of increased prosperity. Results indicate that there is a difference in the human development index between origin and new regencies in eastern Indonesia. The human development index in the new regency is lower than in the origin regency. Thus, according to Myrdal Theory, the worsening disparities in human development between regions is due to the tremendous impact of backwash effects that occur in Eastern Indonesia. In origin and new regencies, fiscal decentralization had a significant positive effect on improving the quality of human development. Different results in origin and new regencies indicate that economic growth does not significantly influence the quality of human development. Poverty reduction occurring in the origin regency had a significant effect on improving the quality of human development, but did not affect the new regency.

From the overall results, the role of government in human quality development is still needed. Many more various studies related to sustainable development are required in order to find the right formula to be applied in various regional conditions. The fiscal decentralization policy by the government should take into account a good combination of budget governance and budget structure in increasing local revenue and expenditure for better and more balanced human development in the origin and new regions.

REFERENCES

- Arisman, A. (2018). Determinant of human development index in ASEAN countries. Signifikan: Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi, 7(1), 113–122. https://doi.org/10.15408/sjie.v7i1.6756
- Central Bureau of Statistics (2017). Indeks Pembangunan Manusia 2017. Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik.
- Bappenas. (2008). Evaluation of the proliferation of administrative region in Indonesia, 2001-2007. Jakarta: BRIDGE, Bappenas-UNDP. Retrieved from https://www.undp.org/content/dam/ indonesia/docs/pemekaran_EN.pdf
- Benjamin, D., Brandt, L., & McCaig, B. (2017). Growth with equity: income inequality in Vietnam, 2002–14. Journal of Economic Inequality, 15(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10888-016-9341-7
- Breunig, R., & Majeed, O. (2020). Inequality, poverty and economic growth. International Economics, 161, 83–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inteco.2019.11.005
- Brida, J. G., Carrera, E. J. S., & Segarra, V. (2020). Clustering and regime dynamics for economic growth and income inequality. Structural Change and Economic Dynamics, 52, 99–108. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco. 2019.09.010
- Elia, A., Yulianto, Y., Tiawon, H., Sustiyah, S., & Indrajaya, K. (2020). Government expenditure and poverty reduction in the proliferation of new administrative areas of Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Journal of Socioeconomics and Development, 3(2), 145. https://doi.org/10.31328/jsed.v3i2.1410

- De. (2017). Pengaruh dana Fretes. P. N. perimbangan, pendapatan asli daerah, dan pertumbuhan ekonomi terhadap indeks pembangunan manusia di Kabupaten Kepulauan Yapen. Jurnal Akuntansi & Ekonomi FE. UN PGRI Kediri, 2(2), 1-33. Retrieved from https://ojs.unpkediri.ac.id/index.php/akuntansi/ar ticle/view/864
- Ghosh, J. (2019). The uses and abuses of inequality. Journal of Human Development and Capabilities, 20(2), 181–196. https://doi.org/10.5117/tvgend2013.1.scot
- Grubaugh, S. G. (2015). Economic growth and growth in human development. Applied Econometrics and International Development, 15(2), 5–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40826-7
- Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). Basic Econometrics (5th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Education. https://books.google.co.id/books/about/Basic_Eco nometrics.html?id=6l1CPgAACAAJ&redir esc=y
- Hariwan, P., & Swaningrum, A. (2015). Analisis indeks pembangunan manusia pada 5 wilayah hasil pemekaran di Jawa Barat. Jurnal Ekonomi Kuantitatif Terapan, 8(1), 72–82. Retrieved from https://ojs.unud.ac.id/index.php/jekt/article/view/ 16496
- Hassan, S. A., Zaman, K., & Gul, S. (2015). The Relationship between growth-inequality-poverty triangle and environmental degradation: unveiling the reality. Arab Economic and Business Journal, 10(1), 57–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aebj.2014.05.007
- Hutajulu, D. M., Panjawa, J. L., Islami, F. S., & Sugiharti, R. R. (2020). Determinan pertumbuhan ekonomi berkelanjutan wilayah induk dan pemekaran di Kawasan Timur Indonesia. Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis, 23(2), 263–284. https://doi.org/10.24914/jeb.v23i2.3293
- Jahan, S. (2019). Human development and universalism: from ideas to policies. Journal of Human Development and Capabilities, 20(2), 233–250. https://doi.org/10.1080/19452829.2019.1574726
- Jamal, A. (2017). Geographical economic concentration, growth and decentralization:
- empirical evidence in Indonesia. Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan, 18(2), 142–158. https://doi.org/10.23917/jep.v18i2.2786
- Liu, Y., Martinez-Vazquez, J., & Wu, A. M. (2017). Fiscal decentralization, equalization, and intra-

provincial inequality in China. International Tax and Public Finance, 24(2), 248–281. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10797-016-9416-1

- Madhu, S., & A.K., G. (2014). The Relationship between financial development indicators and human development in India. International Journal of Social Economics, 41(12), 1194–1208. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-11-2013-0268
- Marrero, G. A., & Serven, L. (2018). Growth, Inequality, And Poverty: A robust relationship? (No. 8578). World Bank Working Paper. Washington, DC. Retrieved from http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/248 621536264773958/pdf/WPS8578.pdf
- McNeill, D. (2007). Human development: the power of the idea. Journal of Human Development, 8(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/14649880601101366
- Mcwilliam, A. (2011). Marginal governance in the time of pemekaran: case studies from Sulawesi and West Papua. Asiean Journal of Social Science, 39, 150–170. https://doi.org/10.1163/156853111X565869
- Mehmood, R., Sadiq, S., & Khalid, M. (2010). Impact of fiscal decentralisation on human development : a case study of Pakistan. The Pakistan Development Review, 49(4), 513–530. Retrieved from

https://www.jstor.org/stable/41428672?seq=1

- Moonti, R. M. (2019). Regional Autonomy in Realizing Good Governance. Substantive Justice International Journal of Law, 2(1), 43. https://doi.org/10.33096/substantivejustice.v2i1.3 1
- Neuman, W. L. (2011). Sosial Research Methods: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches. Boston: Pearson Education, Inc. https:// books.google.co.id/books?id=rKL9lgWN_1gC&q
- Ngozi, B., Gershon, O., & Ogundipe, A. (2020). Comparative investigation of the growth-povertyinequality trilemma in Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin American and Caribbean Countries. Heliyon, 6, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05631
- Nugraha, Q. S., Wicaksono, A. D., & Wijaya, N. S. (2017). Regional disparity level at West Papua Province. International Journal of Environmental and Agriculture Research, 3(8), 75–81. https://doi.org/10.25125/agriculture-journalijoear-aug-2017-9
- Nursini. (2012). Implementation of fiscal decentralization in Indonesia: 2001-2009. Jurnal

Panjawa and Samudro, The reduction of human development...

Ekonomi Pembangunan, 13(1), 2001–2009. Retrieved from http://journals.ums.ac.id/ index.php/JEP/article/view/179

- Panjawa, J. L., Samudro, R., & Soesilo, M. (2018). Regional economic disparities in eastern indonesia and determinants: comparative analysis of origin district and new district. Regional Science Inquiry, X(1), 117–124. Retrieved from https://ideas.repec.org/a/hrs/journl/vxy2018i1p11 7-124.html
- Prabowo, P. A., Supriyono, B., Noor, I., & Muluk, M. K. (2020). Special autonomy policy evaluation to improve community welfare in Papua Province Indonesia. International Journal of Excellence in Government, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijeg-06-2019-0011
- Pramartha, I. M. A., & Dwirandra, A. A. N. B. (2018). Pengaruh desentralisasi fiskal, belanja modal, dan investasi swasta terhadap indeks pembangunan manusia. E-Jurnal Akuntansi, 22(3), 2458–2482. https://doi.org/10.24843/EJA.2018.v22.i03.p30
- Putu, P. I. B., Maryunani, Fajri, A. C., & Budi, S. D. (2019). The implications of fiscal decentralization and budget governance on economic capacity and community welfare. Foresight, 21(2), 227– 249. https://doi.org/10.1108/FS-05-2018-0052
- Qiao, B., Martinez-Vazquez, J., & Xu, Y. (2008). The tradeoff between growth and equity in decentralization policy: China's experience. Journal of Development Economics, 86(1), 112–128.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2007.05.002

- Riphat, S., Setiawan, H., & Damayanty, S. A. (2016). Causality analysis between financial performance and human development index: a case study of provinces in Eastern Indonesia. Kajian Ekonomi Dan Keuangan, 20(3), 231–240. https://doi.org/10.31685/kek.v20i3.195
- Salqaura, S. S., Mulyo, J. H., & Darwanto, D. H. (2019). The influence of fiscal policy on agriculture sector in Java island. Agro Ekonomi, 29(2), 173–184. https://doi.org/10.22146/ae.35044
- Seymour, R., & Turner, S. (2002). Otonomi daerah: Indonesia's decentralisation experiment. New Zealand Journal of Asian Studies, 4(2), 33–51.

Retrieved from https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?d oi=10.1.1.628.170&rep=rep1&type=pdf

- Sharmila, G. (2013). The governance dimension of human development. Humanomics, 29(4), 240– 259. https://doi.org/10.1108/H-03-2013-0015
- Siburian, M. E. (2020). Fiscal decentralization and regional income inequality: evidence from Indonesia. Applied Economics Letters, 27(17), 1383–1386. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2019.1683139
- Soejoto, A., Fitrayati, D., Rachmawati, L., & Sholikah, N. (2016). Typology of regional economic development pattern. International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research, 14(13), 9493–9505. Retrieved from https://serialsjournals.com/abstract/27493_46ady_soejoto.pdf
- Sulistyowati, N., Sinaga, B. M., & Novindra. (2017). Impacts of government and household expenditure on human development index. Journal of Economics and Policy, 10(2), 412–428. https://doi.org/10.15294/jejak.v10i2.11305
- Sušnik, J., & van der Zaag, P. (2017). Correlation and causation between the UN Human Development Index and national and personal wealth and resource exploitation. Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja, 30(1), 1705–1723. https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2017.1383175
- Tun, L. M. (2008). An empirical investigation of relationships between Official Development Assistance (ODA) and human and educational development. International Journal of Social Economics, 35(3), 202–221. https://doi.org/10.1108/03068290810847879
- Vikash, V. (2019). Human development index and gross national happiness indices: a conceptual study. Advances In Management, 12(1), 62–63. Retrieved from https://www.worldresearchersassociations.com/m ngmntcurrissue/10.pdf
- Yuliani, T., & Saragih, N. (2014). Determinan pembangunan manusia di kabupaten/kota Provinsi Jawa Tengah. Jejak, 7(1), 60–72. https://doi.org/10.15294/jejak.v7i1.3843